arXiv:1404.4064v2 [math.CO] 28 Oct 2014

Binomial partial Steiner triple systems containing complete graphs

Małgorzata Prażmowska*, Krzysztof Prażmowski

October 30, 2014

Abstract

We propose a new approach to studies on partial Steiner triple systems consisting in determining complete graphs contained in them. We establish the structure which complete graphs yield in a minimal PSTS that contains them. As a by-product we introduce the notion of a binomial PSTS as a configuration with parameters of a minimal PSTS with a complete subgraph. A representation of binomial PSTS with at least a given number of its maximal complete subgraphs is given in terms of systems of perspectives. Finally, we prove that for each admissible integer there is a binomial PSTS with this number of maximal complete subgraphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05B30 (05C51, 05B40).
Key words: binomial configuration, generalized Desargues configuration, complete graph.

Introduction

In the paper we investigate the structure which (may) yield complete graphs contained in a (partial) Steiner triple system (in short: in a PSTS). Our problem is, in fact, a particular instance of a general question, investigated in the literature, which STS's (more generally: which PSTS's) contain/do not contain a configuration of a prescribed type. Here belongs, e.g. the problem to determine Pasch-free configurations in some definite classes of (P)STS's ([13]), or to characterize STS's which do not contain the mitre configuration (e.g.: [23] [7])). It resembles also (and, in a sense, generalizes) the problem to determine triangle-free (e.g. [1], [10]), quadrangle-free (e.g. [3]), and other $\mathcal{K}$-free (e.g. [6], [16]) configurations, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a fixed class of configurations (of a special importance). In our case configurations in question are complete graphs and so-called binomial configurations.

In essence, in what follows, speaking about a (complete) graph G contained in a configuration $\mathfrak{M}$ we always assume that G is *freely* contained in $\mathfrak{M}$ i.e. it is not merely a subgraph of the collinearity (point-adjacency) graph of $\mathfrak{M}$ but also distinct edges of G lie on distinct lines (so called *sides*) of $\mathfrak{M}$, and sides do not intersect outside G. Note that following this terminology a complete quadrangle on a projective plane $\mathfrak{P}$ *is not* a K_4-graph *contained* in $\mathfrak{P}$.

* *Corresponding Author*, e-mail: malgpraz@math.uwb.edu.pl, telephone: +48 606 74 64 56

There are two main problems that this theory starts with. Firstly: *what are the minimal parameters of a* PSTS *necessary to contain a K_n-graph* and *does there exist a* PSTS *with these parameters which contains/does not contain a K_n-graph.* It turns out that a minimal (with respect to its size) PSTS that contains K_n is a so called *binomial configuration* i.e. a $(v_r\, b_3)$-configuration such that $v = \binom{m}{2}$, $r = m-2$, and $b = \binom{m}{3}$ for a positive integer m $(= n+1)$ (Prop. 2.2). We say, in short, that this PSTS is *a minimal configuration* which contains K_n.

Several classes of binomial configurations were introduced and studied in the literature (see [19, combinatorial Grassmannians], [17, combinatorial Veronesians], [18, multiveblen configurations], [20], [21]). The above characterization of the parameters of a binomial configuration gives a good motivation and justification for investigating this class from 'a general perspective'. In most of the binomial configurations already defined in the literature a suitable complete graph can be found. As the best known example of a binomial configuration we can quote generalized Desargues configuration (see [12], [4], [5]). Then the answer to the 'dual' question *what is the maximal size of a complete graph that a binomial $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2}\binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configuration $\mathfrak{M}$ may contain* easily follows: this size is $n-1$. In this case we say that K_n is a maximal (complete) subgraph of $\mathfrak{M}$. However, there are $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2}\binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configurations that do not contain any K_{n-1}-graph. The simplest example can be found for $n=5$: it is known that there are 10_3-configurations without K_4. (cf. [2], [15]).

The second problem is read as follows: provided a $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration contains a K_n, *what is the possible number of K_n-graphs contained in $\mathfrak{M}$ and what is the structure they yield.* It turns out that the maximal number of such K_n-subgraphs is $n+1$ (Prop. 2.9). Binomial configurations with the maximal number of K_n-subgraphs are exactly the generalized Desargues configurations. This fact points out once more a special position of the class of generalized Desargues configurations within the class of binomial configurations.

As we already mentioned, the problem whether a given binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration $\mathfrak{M}$ contains a complete K_n-graph is slightly similar to the problem if a PSTS contains a Pasch configuration. Indeed, $\mathfrak{M}$ contains such a graph iff it contains a binomial $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2}\binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configuration (Prop. 2.3, Cor.2.4). So, (binomial) configurations (of size $\binom{n+1}{2}$) without K_n-graphs are exactly the configurations whose no subspace is a binomial configuration of one-step-smaller size $\binom{n}{2}$.

A binomial $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration with two K_n-subgraphs can be considered as an abstract scheme of a perspective of two n-simplices (Prop. 2.6). Generally, the structure of the intersection points of K_n-subgraphs of a $\left(\binom{n+1}{2}_{n-1}\binom{n+1}{3}_3\right)$-configuration is isomorphic to a generalized Desargues configuration (Prop. 2.9).

In the paper we do not intend to give a *detailed* analysis of the internal structure of binomial configurations which contain a *prescribed* number of their maximal K_n-subgraphs. We give only a technique (a procedure) to construct a binomial configuration which contains at least the given number of K_n-subgraphs: Thm. 2.12 And we prove that for each admissible integer m there does exist a binomial configuration which freely contains m K_n-subgraphs. Some remarks are also made which show that, surprisingly, binomial configurations with 'many' maximal com-

plete subgraphs (the maximal admissible number, the maximal number reduced by 2, and the maximal number reduced by 3) are the configurations of some well known classes.

1 Definitions

Recall that the term *combinatorial configuration* (or simply a *configuration*) is usually (cf. e.g. [9], [22]) used for a finite incidence point-line structure provided that two different points are incident with at most one line and the line size and point rank are constant. Speaking more precisely, a $(v_r\, b_\varkappa)$-*configuration* is a combinatorial configuration with v points and b lines such that there are r lines through each point, and there are $\varkappa$ points on each line. A partial Steiner triple system (a PSTS, in short) is a configuration whose each line contains exactly three points.

In what follows we shall pay special attention to so called *binomial configurations* (more precisely: binomial partial Steiner triple systems, BSTS, in short) i.e. to $\left(\binom{n}{2}_{n-2}\binom{n}{3}_3\right)$-configurations with arbitrary integer $n \geq 2$. The class of the configurations with these parameters will be denoted by B_n.

Let k be a positive integer and X a set; we write $\wp_k(X)$ for the set of all k-subsets of X. The incidence structure

$$\mathbf{G}_k(X) := \langle \wp_k(X), \wp_{k+1}(X), \subset \rangle$$

will be called *a combinatorial Grassmannian* (cf. [19]). If $|X| = n$ then $\mathbf{G}_2(X)$ is a B_n-configuration, so it is a BSTS. As the structure $\mathbf{G}_2(X)$ is (up to an isomorphism) uniquely determined by the cardinality $|X|$ in what follows we frequently write $\mathbf{G}_2(|X|)$ instead of $\mathbf{G}_2(X)$. Recall that $\mathbf{G}_2(4)$ is the Veblen (the Pasch) configuration and $\mathbf{G}_2(5)$ is the Desargues Configuration (see e.g. [17], [19]). Generally, every structure $\mathbf{G}_2(n)$, $n \geq 5$ will be called a *generalized Desargues configuration* (cf. [21], [4], [5]).

Let X be a non void set, $n = |X|$. A nondirected loopless graph defined on X (we say simply: a *graph*) is a structure of the form $\langle X, \mathcal{P} \rangle$ with $\mathcal{P} \subset \wp_2(X)$. We write $K_X = \langle X, \wp_2(X) \rangle$ for the complete graph with the vertices X; the term K_n is used for an arbitrary graph K_X where $|X| = n$.

We say that a configuration $\mathfrak{N} = \langle X, \mathcal{G} \rangle$ *is contained in* a configuration $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L} \rangle$ if

- $X \subset S$.
- each line $L \in \mathcal{G}$ extends (uniquely) to some $\overline{L} \in \mathcal{L}$, and
- distinct lines of $\mathfrak{N}$ extend to distinct lines of $\mathfrak{M}$.

$\mathfrak{N}$ is *l-closed* (is a *subspace* of $\mathfrak{M}$) if each line of $\mathfrak{M}$ that crosses X in at least two points is an extension of a line in $\mathcal{G}$. In that case we also say that $\mathfrak{N}$ is *regularly* contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. Frequently, with a slight abuse of language, we refer to lines of the form $\overline{L}$ ($L \in \mathcal{G}$) as to *sides* of $\mathfrak{N}$.
$\mathfrak{N}$ is *p-closed* if its sides do no intersect outside $\mathfrak{N}$ i.e. when the following holds:

$$L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{G},\ L_1 \neq L_2,\ p \in \overline{L_1} \cap \overline{L_2} \implies p \in X$$

A graph contained and p-closed in $\mathfrak{M}$ is said to be *freely contained in* $\mathfrak{M}$. In what follows the phrases

X is a K_n-graph (freely) contained in $\mathfrak{M}$ and
K_X is (freely) contained in $\mathfrak{M}$

will be used equivalently, together with their (admissible) stylistic variants.

2 Complete subgraphs freely contained in PSTS's

Clearly, there are configurations with freely contained subgraphs.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *[*cf. [12] or [18]*] Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. $\mathbf{G}_2(n+1)$ is a B_{n+1}-configuration which freely contains K_n.*

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. A smallest* PSTS *that freely contains the complete graph K_n is a B_{n+1}-configuration. Consequently, it is a* BSTS.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ freely contain $K_X = \langle X, \wp_2(X)\rangle$, $|X| = n$. Then $X \subset S$ and for each edge $e \in \wp_2(X)$ there is a third point $\infty_e \in \overline{e} \setminus e$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ on $\overline{e}$. Therefore, $|S| \geq |X| + |\wp_2(X)| = \binom{n+1}{2}$. Write $X^\infty = \{e_\infty \colon e \in \wp_2(X)\}$. The rank of a point $x \in X$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ is at least $n-1$, so the rank of a point $q \in X^\infty$ is at least $n-1$ as well. On the other hand, there passes exactly one side of K_X through a point in X^∞. Let b_0 be the number of lines through a point in X^∞ distinct from the sides of K_X. This number is minimal when the lines in question contain entirely points in X^∞ and then through $q \in X^\infty$ there pass: one side of K_X and lines contained in X^∞. Consequently, $3b_0 \geq |\wp_2(X)|(n-2)$. This yields $b_0 \geq \binom{n}{3}$. Finally, $|\mathcal{L}| \geq |\wp_2(X)| + b_0 = \binom{n+1}{3}$. □

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ be a minimal* PSTS *which freely contains a complete graph $K_X = \langle X, \wp_2(X)\rangle$ and $|X| = n$. Then* the complement of K_X *i.e. the structure $\langle S \setminus X, \mathcal{L} \setminus \{\overline{e} \colon e \in \wp_2(X)\}\rangle$ is a B_n-configuration and a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}$.*

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{N} = \langle Z, \mathcal{G}\rangle$ be a B_n-configuration regularly contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. Then $S \setminus Z$ yields in $\mathfrak{M}$ a complete K_n-graph freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}$, whose complement is $\mathfrak{N}$.

PROOF. By 2.2, $\mathfrak{M}$ is a B_{n+1}-configuration. The first statement was proved, in fact, in the proof of 2.2.

Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be a suitable subconfiguration of $\mathfrak{M}$. Set $X = S \setminus Z$. Then $|X| = n$. Through each point $z \in Z$ there passes exactly one line $L_z \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{G}$. If $z_1 \neq z_2$ then $L_{z_1} \neq L_{z_2}$, as otherwise $L_{z_1} \in \mathcal{G}$. We have $|\mathcal{L}\setminus\mathcal{G}| = \binom{n+1}{3} - \binom{n}{3} = \binom{n}{2} = |\{L_z \colon z \in Z\}|$ and therefore $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{G} = \{L_z \colon z \in Z\}$. Each line in $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{G}$ contains exactly two elements of X; comparing the parameters we get that $\langle X, \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{G}\rangle$ is a complete graph. □

As we learn from the proofs of 2.2 and 2.3 each minimal partial STS that freely contains a complete graph K_n is associated with a labelling (the map $e \mapsto \infty_e$) of the points of a B_n-configuration $\mathfrak{H}$ by the elements of $\wp_2(X)$, $|X| = n$.

Indeed, let $\mathfrak{H} = \langle Z, \mathcal{G}\rangle$, $\mu \colon \wp_2(X) \longrightarrow Z$ be a bijection, and $n = |X|$. Then the configuration

$$K_X +_\mu \mathfrak{H} := \langle X \cup Z, \mathcal{G} \cup \{\{a, b, \mu(\{a, b\})\} \colon \{a, b\} \in \wp_2(X)\}\rangle$$

is a B_{n+1}-configuration freely containing K_X.

In what follows any bijection μ of the points of a configuration onto an arbitrary set will be frequently named a *labelling.* [1]

The above apparatus was fruitfully applied in [15] to the case $n = 4$ (labelling of the Veblen configuration) to classify 10_3-configurations which freely contain K_4. Clearly, this method can be applied to arbitrary n, though even in the next step: $n = 5$ a classification "by hand" of all the labellings of arbitrary $\left(\binom{5}{2}_3 \binom{5}{3}_3\right)$-configuration by the elements of $\wp_2(X)$ with $|X| = 5$ seems seriously much more complex, if executable. In what follows we shall propose a way that may be applied to arbitrary n and which seems (at least a bit) less involved.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a B_{n+1}-configuration. $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains a complete graph K_n iff $\mathfrak{M}$ regularly contains a B_n-subconfiguration.*

2.1 Intersection properties

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Any two distinct complete K_n-graphs freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration share exactly one vertex.*

PROOF. Let $G_1 = \langle X_1, \wp_2(X_1)\rangle$, $G_2 = \langle X_2, \wp_2(X_2)\rangle$ be two K_n graphs freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{M}$.

First, we note that $X_1 \cap X_2 \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, suppose that $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. Then X_2 is freely contained in the complement of X_1. From 2.3, this complement is a B_n-configuration, which contradicts 2.2.

Let $a \in X_1 \cap X_2$. Since the degree of a in K_{X_1}, in $\mathfrak{M}$, and in K_{X_2} is $n-1$, G_1 and G_2 have common sides through a. Assume that $b \in X_1 \cap X_2$ for some $b \neq a$; as previously the sides of G_1 and of G_2 through b coincide. So, consider arbitrary $x \in X_1 \setminus \{a, b\}$. G_1 and G_2 both contain the sides $\overline{\{a, x\}}$ and $\overline{\{x, b\}}$, so $x \in X_2$. Finally, we arrive to $X_1 = X_2$. □

For a geometer the situation considered in 2.5 has clear geometrical meaning: if a is the common vertex of two complete K_n graphs $\langle X_1, \mathcal{E}_1\rangle$, $\langle X_2, \mathcal{E}_2\rangle$ freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{M}$ then a is the *perspective center* of two K_{n-1}-simplices $A_1 = X_1 \setminus \{a\}$ and $A_2 = X_2 \setminus \{a\}$. This means: there is a bijective correspondence σ_a between the vertices of the simplices such that for every vertex x of the first simplex the corresponding vertex $\sigma_a(x)$ of the latter simplex lies on the line $\overline{a, x}$ through a and x. As we shall see, in this case also an analogue of a *perspective axis* can be found. That is, there is a subspace Z of $\mathfrak{M}$ and a bijective correspondence ζ between the sides of the simplices A_1 and A_2 such that for each side L of the first simplex the corresponding side $\zeta(L)$ of the latter simplex crosses L in a point on Z.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $G_i = \langle X_i, \wp_2(X_i)\rangle$, $i = 1, 2$ be two complete K_n-graphs freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$, let $p \in X_1 \cap X_2$, and $\mathfrak{N}_i$ with the pointset $Z_i = S \setminus X_{3-i}$ be the complement of G_{3-i} in $\mathfrak{M}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (cf. 2.3).*

(i) *$\mathfrak{N}_i$ freely contains a complete K_{n-1}-graph, for each $i = 1, 2$.*

[1] In [12] the term 'improper points' was used instead of 'labelling'. Now we think that this older term should not be used, as it suggests, incorrectly, some connections with a 'parallelism', something to do with 'directions'.

(ii) *Each side of G_i missing p crosses exactly one side of G_{3-i} and the latter misses p as well. The intersection points of the corresponding sides form the set $Z_1 \cap Z_2$.*

PROOF. It seen that $X_i \setminus \{p\}$ is a K_{n-1}-graph contained in Z_i and, clearly, it is p-closed. This proves (i).

Let $p \notin e \in \wp_2(X_i)$, then $\overline{e}\backslash e$ is a point u_e in S. Since $u_e \notin X_i$, we have $u_e \in Z_{3-i}$. Moreover, $u_e \notin X_{3-i}$, since $X_{3-i} \subset \bigcup\{\overline{p,x}\colon x \in X_i\}$, so $u_e \in Z_i$. Therefore, there is a side e' of G_{3-i} (a line of $\mathfrak{N}_{3-i}$) which passes through u_e. Statement (ii) is now evident. □

Even in the smallest possible case ($n = 4$) we have a B_{n+1}-configuration (the fez configuration, cf. [15]) which contains a pair of perspective triangles with the perspective center p such that the correspondence of the form $\overline{\{a,b\}} \longmapsto \overline{\{\sigma_p(a), \sigma_p(b)\}}$ does not yield any perspective axis, but the triangles in question do have a perspective axis.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $\langle X_i, \wp_2(X_i)\rangle$, $i = 1,2,3$ be three distinct K_n graphs freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $c_k \in X_i \cap X_j$ for all $\{k,i,j\} = \{1,2,3\}$. Then $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ is a line of $\mathfrak{M}$.*

PROOF. We have $c_3 \in X_1 \cap X_2$, so $X_2 \setminus \{c_3\}$ consists of all the 'third points' on sides of X_1 through c_3 i.e.

$$X_2 \setminus \{c_3\} = \{\overline{c_3, x} \setminus \{c_3, x\}\colon x \in X_1 \setminus \{c_3\}\}.$$

From the assumption, $c_1 \in X_2$ and thus $\{c_1, c_3, u\}$ is a line of $\mathfrak{M}$ for some $u \in X_1 \setminus \{c_3\}$. With analogous reasoning we have

$$X_3 \setminus \{c_1\} = \{\overline{c_1, x} \setminus \{c_1, x\}\colon x \in X_2 \setminus \{c_1\}\},$$

so $u \in X_3$. Finally, with 2.5 we have $u = c_2$: the claim. □

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ be a B_{n+1}-configuration. Let $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \wp_n(S)$ be pairwise distinct, $\mathcal{E}_i = \wp_2(X_i)$ and $G_i = \langle X_i, \mathcal{E}_i\rangle$ for $i = 1,2,3$.*

(i) *Assume that G_1 and G_2 are freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. Then G_1, G_2 have exactly $n-1$ common sides i.e.*

$$|\,\{\overline{e}\colon e \in \mathcal{E}_1\} \cap \{\overline{e}\colon e \in \mathcal{E}_2\}\,| = n - 1.$$

(ii) *Assume that G_1, G_2, G_3 are freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. Then there is exactly one side common to G_1, G_2, and G_3.*

2.2 The structure of complete subgraphs

Next, we pass to an analysis of possible 'many' subgraphs freely contained in a binomial configuration.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let $G_i = \langle X_i, \wp_2(X_i)\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ be a family of m distinct K_n-graphs freely contained in a B_{n+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$.*

(i) *Set* $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$. *The map* $q\colon \wp_2(I) \longrightarrow S$ *determined (cf. 2.5) by the condition*

$$q^{i,j} = q(\{i,j\}) \in X_i \cap X_j \text{ for each } \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$$

embeds $\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ *into* $\mathfrak{M}$.

(ii) *Consequently,* $m \leq n+1$.

(iii) *If* $m = n$ *then* $\mathfrak{M}$ *freely contains one more,* $(n+1)$*-st* K_n*-graph.*

PROOF. Ad (i): From 2.7, $X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k = \emptyset$ for distinct i, j, k in I and thus the map q is an injection. Moreover, 2.7 also yields that q maps each line of $\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ onto a line of $\mathfrak{M}$.
(ii) is immediate now.
Ad (iii): For each $i \in I$ there is exactly one point $d_i \in X_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j$. Write $X_0 = \{d_i\colon i \in I\}$, clearly, $|X_0| = n$. Let $i, j \in I$ be distinct; from definition, $q^{i,j} \neq d_i, d_j$. From 2.7 we get that for every $k \in I$, $k \neq i, j$ the side $\overline{q^{i,j}, q^{i,k}}$ of G_i crosses X_j in the point $q^{j,k}$. So, the side $\overline{q^{i,j}, d_i}$ of G_i crosses X_j in a point distinct from all the $q^{j,k}$ i.e. in the point d_j. Thus X_0 is a complete graph with the sides $\{d_i, d_j, q^{i,j}\}$, $\{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$. It is seen that X_0 is freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. □

COROLLARY 2.10. *A* B_{n+1}*-configuration* $\mathfrak{M}$ *freely contains* $n+1$ K_n*-graphs iff* $\mathfrak{M} \cong \mathbf{G}_2(n+1)$.

PROOF. In view of 2.9(i) it suffices to note that the sets $S(i) = \{e \in \wp_2(I)\colon i \in e\}$ are the maximal cliques of $\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ which are not lines of $\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ (cf. [19]). It is seen that each of them is freely contained in $\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ for arbitrary set I with $|I| \geq 3$. □

The results obtained can be summarized in the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let* $G_i = \langle X_i, \wp_2(X_i)\rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ *be a family of* m *distinct* K_n*-graphs freely contained in a* B_{n+1}*-configuration* $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$. *Set* $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$, $Z_i := X_i \setminus \bigcup_{k \in I \setminus \{i\}} X_k$, $Z := S \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$, $\mathcal{E}_i := \{\overline{e}\colon e \in \wp_2(X_i)\}$, $\mathcal{G}_i := \mathcal{E}_i \setminus \bigcup_{k \in I \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{E}_k$, $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{L} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_i$ *for every* $i \in I$, $q^{i,j} \in X_i, X_j$, $Q := \{q^{i,j}\colon \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)\}$.

(i) *If* $L \in \mathcal{G}$ *then* $L \subset Z$.

(ii) *Let* $L \in \mathcal{L}$. *If* $|L \cap Z| \geq 2$ *then* $L \in \mathcal{G}$.

(iii) $|Z_i| = n - m + 1$ *for every* $i \in I$.

(iv) *Let* $\{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$. *Then* $Z_i \cup \{q^{i,j}\}$ *and* $Z_j \cup \{q^{i,j}\}$ *are two* K_{n-m+2}*-graphs with the common sides through* $q^{i,j}$. *Each of them is freely contained in* $\mathfrak{M}$.

(v) $|Z| = \binom{n+1-m}{2}$

(vi) $|\mathcal{G}| = \binom{n+1-m}{3}$

(vii) *Let* $L \in \mathcal{G}_i$ *for an* $i \in I$. *Then* $|L \cap X_i| = 2$ *and* $L \cap X_i \subset Z_i$, $|L \cap Z| = 1$

(viii) *Let* $e \in \wp_2(Z_i)$ *for an* $i \in I$. *Then* $\overline{e} \in \mathcal{G}_i$.

(ix) $|\mathcal{G}_i| = \binom{|Z_i|}{2} = \binom{n+1-m}{2}$ *for every* $i \in I$.

(x) *Let* $i \in I$. *Through every point* $p \in Z$ *there passes exactly one* $L \in \mathcal{G}_i$.

(xi) *The structure* $\langle Z, \mathcal{G}\rangle$ *is a* B_{n-m+1}*-configuration regularly contained in* $\mathfrak{M}$.

PROOF. (i): Suppose $a \in L \cap X_i$ for some $i \in I$ and $L \in \mathcal{G}$. Comparing point-ranks we note that all the lines of $\mathfrak{M}$ through a are the sides of G_i, so $L \in \mathcal{E}_i$: a contradiction.
(ii): Suppose $L \notin \mathcal{G}$, then there are $i \in I$ and an edge e of G_i such that $L = \overline{e}$. Clearly, $|L \cap X_i| = 2$, so $|L \cap Z| \leq 1$.
(iii): Evident: $Z_i = \{x \in X_i \colon x \neq q^{i,k}, k \in I \setminus \{i\}\}$ and $|I \setminus \{i\}| = m - 1$.
(iv): Evidently, any two points in Z_i and any two points in Z_j are on a line of $\mathfrak{M}$: a suitable side of G_i or of G_j resp. Let $a \in Z_i$. Then $\overline{q^{i,j}, a} \setminus \{q^{i,j}, a\}$ is a point b of X_j. Suppose $b = q^{j,k}$ for some $k \in I$. From 2.7, $a = q^{i,k}$: a contradiction; thus $b \in Z_j$.
(v): By 2.5 and 2.7, $|\bigcup_{i\in I} X_i| = m \cdot n - \binom{m}{2} \cdot 1 =: \gamma(n)$; we compute $\binom{n+1}{2} - (\binom{m+1-n}{2} + \gamma(n)) = 0$.
(vi): Analogously, by 2.7 and 2.8, $|\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{E}_i| = m \cdot \binom{n}{2} - \binom{m}{2} \cdot (n-1) + \binom{m}{3} \cdot 1 =: \delta(n)$, and then $\binom{n+1}{3} - (\binom{n+1-m}{3} + \delta(n)) = 0$.
(vii): It is clear that any $L \in \mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{E}_i$ crosses X_i in a pair a, b of points. Suppose $a \notin Z_i$. Then $a = q^{i,k}$ for some $k \in I$, $k \neq i$ and then $L \in \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_k$. This yields $a, b \in X_i$. Suppose $L = \{a, b, c\}$ and $c \in X_k$ for $k \in I$. Then $L \in \mathcal{E}_k$, $k \neq i$, so $L \notin \mathcal{G}_i$.
(viii): Suppose $\overline{e} \notin \mathcal{G}_i$, so there is $k \neq i$ such that $\overline{e} \in \mathcal{E}_k$. This means: G_k contains an edge e' with $\overline{e} = \overline{e'}$. Then $e \cap e' \neq \emptyset$, so $e \cap X_k \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $e \subset Z_i$.
(ix): Immediately follows form (vii) and (viii).
(x): In view of (vii), the map $\mathcal{G}_i \ni L \longmapsto p \in L \cap Z$ is well defined. Clearly, it is injective. From (ix) and (iii) it is also surjective, and this is exactly the claim.
(xi): Immediate, after (i), (ii), (v), and (vi). □

Let $I = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ be arbitrary, let $n > m$ be an integer, and let X be a set with $n - m + 1$ elements. Let us fix an arbitrary B_{n-m+1}-configuration $\mathfrak{B} = \langle Z, \mathcal{G} \rangle$. Assume that we have two maps μ, ξ defined: $\mu \colon I \longrightarrow Z^{\wp_2(X)}$ and $\xi \colon I \times I \longrightarrow S_X$, such that $\xi_{i,i} = \mathrm{id}$, $\xi_{i,j} = \xi_{j,i}^{-1}$, and μ_i is a bijection for all $i, j \in I$. Let $S = Z \cup (X \times I) \cup \wp_2(I)$ (to avoid silly errors we assume that the given three sets are pairwise disjoint). On S we define the following family $\mathcal{L}$ of blocks

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \;=\; & \mathcal{G} \\
\cup \;\; & \text{the lines of } \mathbf{G}_2(I) \\
\cup \;\; & \{\{\{i,j\}, (x,i), (\xi_{i,j}(x), j)\} \colon \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I), x \in X\} \\
\cup \;\; & \{\{(a,i), (b,i), \mu_i(\{a,b\})\} \colon \{a,b\} \in \wp_2(X), i \in I\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$m \bowtie_{\xi}^{\mu} \mathfrak{B} = \langle S, \mathcal{L} \rangle. \tag{1}$$

It needs only a straightforward (though quite tidy) verification to prove that

$$\mathfrak{M} := m \bowtie_{\xi}^{\mu} \mathfrak{B} \text{ is a } B_{n+1}\text{-configuration.}$$

For each $i \in I$ we set $Z_i = X \times \{i\}$, $S_i = \{e \in \wp_2(I) \colon i \in e\}$, and $X_i = Z_i \cup S_i$. It is seen that $\mathfrak{M}$ *freely contains* m K_n*-graphs* $X_1, \ldots, X_m$. Indeed, let us write $a \oplus b = c$ when $\{a, b, c\}$ is a line (of the configuration in question). Then we have

$\{i,j\} \oplus \{i,k\} = \{j,k\}$, $(a,i) \oplus (b,i) = \mu_i(\{a,b\})$, and $(a,i) \oplus \{i,j\} = (\xi_{i,j}(a), j)$. It is seen that the point $\{i,j\}$ is the perspective center of two subgraphs Z_i, Z_j of $\mathfrak{M}$. So, we call the configuration $m \bowtie^{\mu}_{\xi} \mathfrak{B}$ *a system of perspective* $(n-m+1)$*-simplices.* Define $\mu^o_i \colon \wp_2(Z_i) \longrightarrow Z$ by the formula $\mu^o_i(\{(x,i),(y,i)\}) = \mu(\{x,y\})$; it is seen that the configuration $\mathfrak{B}$ is the common 'axis' of the configurations $\langle Z_i, \wp_2(Z_i)\rangle +_{\mu^o_i} \mathfrak{B}$ contained in $\mathfrak{M}$.

Note that the words 'perspective', 'axis', and 'simplices' are used to suggest some *formal* similarities to objects considered in geometry. Such a usage does not mean that the considered binomial configuration $m \bowtie^m u_{\xi}\mathfrak{B}$ is necessarily realizable in a desarguesian projective space.

Let us consider two special cases of the above definition of a system of perspective simplices.

(i) Let $m = n-1$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ consists of a single point p: the *center* of $\mathfrak{M}$. Consequently, μ_i is constant, $\mu_i \equiv p$. Moreover, the set X which appears in the definition has 2 elements and then $|S_X| = 2$. Then instead of a map ξ one can consider a graph $\mathcal{P} \subset \wp_2(I)$ defined by $\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{P} \iff \xi_{i,j} = \mathrm{id}$. And then *the system* $m \bowtie^{p}_{\xi} \langle\{p\},\emptyset\rangle$ *of* m *perspective segments (of 2-simplices) is the multiveblen configuration* $\mathbb{W}^m{}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathbf{G}_2(m)$ (cf. [18], [20]).

(ii) Let $m = n-2$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ consists of a single 3-point line, $L = \{a,b,c\}$. Up to a permutation of X there is a unique bijection $\mu \colon \wp_2(X) \longrightarrow L$. Finally *the system of* m *perspective triangles* $m \bowtie^{\mu}_{\xi} \langle L, \{L\}\rangle$ *is the system of triangle perspectives* $\mathbb{TP}_I{}_{\xi}\mathbf{G}_2(I)$ (cf. [14]).

Now, till the end of this section writing 'a configuration contains m graphs' we mean 'a configuration contains *at least* m graphs'.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a* B_{n+1}*-configuration. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathfrak{M}$ *freely contains* m K_n*-graphs.*

(ii) $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a system of* m *perspective* $(n-m+1)$*-simplices i.e.* $\mathfrak{M} \cong m \bowtie^{\mu}_{\xi} \mathfrak{B}$ *for a* B_{n-m+1}*-configuration* $\mathfrak{B}$ *and some (admissible) maps* μ, ξ*.*

PROOF. We have already noticed that $m \bowtie^{\mu}_{\xi} \mathfrak{B}$ contains required subgraphs.

Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}\rangle$ and let $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in \wp_n(S)$ be pairwise distinct. Assume that $G_i = \langle X_i, \wp_2(X_i)\rangle$ is freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let us adopt the notation of 2.11.

Set $\mathfrak{B} = \langle Z, \mathcal{G}\rangle$. Let us fix a $(n-m+1)$-element set X and let $\nu_i \colon Z_i \longrightarrow X$ be a fixed bijection for each $i \in I$. Let $a, b, \in X$ and $i, j \in I$. Define

$$\text{if } a \neq b \text{ then } \mu_i(\{a,b\}) = \overline{\nu_i(a)\nu_i(b)} \setminus \{\nu_i(a), \nu_i(b)\},$$

$x_{i,i} = \mathrm{id}_X$, and

$$\text{if } i \neq j \text{ then } \xi_{i,j}(a) = b \text{ iff } \{q^{i,j}, \nu_i(a), \nu_j(b)\} \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Finally, we define on the points of $\mathfrak{M}$ the following map F:

$$F \colon \begin{cases} Q \ni q^{i,j} & \longmapsto \quad \{i,j\} \\ Z_i \ni x & \longmapsto \quad (x,i) \\ Z \ni a & \longmapsto \quad a \end{cases}$$

It is a standard student's exercise to compute that F is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{M}$ and $m \bowtie_{\xi}^{\mu} \mathfrak{B}$. □

COROLLARY 2.13. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a B_{n+1}-configuration.*

(i) $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains $n-1$ graphs K_n iff $\mathfrak{M}$ is (isomorphic to) a multiveblen configuration.

(ii) $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains $n-2$ graphs K_n iff $\mathfrak{M}$ is (isomorphic to) a system of triangle perspectives.

Particular instances of 2.10 and 2.13 in case $n = 4$ can be found in [15]: a 10_3 configuration contains four K_4 iff it contains five K_4 iff it is a Desargues Configuration; a 10_3 configuration contains three K_4 iff it is a multiveblen configuration i.e. iff it is the Desargues or it is the Kantor 10_3G-configuration (cf. [11]); a 10_3 configuration contains two K_4 iff it is a system of triangle perspectives i.e. it is one of the following: the Desargues, the Kantor 10_3G, or the fez configuration.

3 Existence problems

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If there is a B_n-configuration which freely contains exactly m maximal complete subgraphs where $m \leq n-2$ then there exists a B_{n+1}-configuration which freely contains exactly $m+1$ maximal complete subgraphs.*

PROOF. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be the K_{n-1}-subgraphs of a B_n-configuration $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L} \rangle$. Set $I = \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let us reprezent $\mathfrak{M}$ as a system of perspectives, so let $q_{i,j} \in Y_i \cap Y_j$ for distinct i, j and $Q = \{q_{i,j} \colon \{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)\}$, $G_i = Y_i \setminus Q$, and let Z be an "axis" i.e. the intersection of all the complementary subconfigurations of the Y_i'es. Let X be an arbitrary set disjoint with S of cardinality n and let $P \in \wp_m(X)$. Let us number the elements of P: $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ and the elements of $X \setminus P$: $X \setminus P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-m}\}$. Note that $n - m \geq 2$. For each $\{i,j\} \in \wp_2(I)$ we introduce the triple $\{p_i, p_j, q_{i,j}\}$ as a new line. The number of points in each of the sets G_i is $n-m$; let σ_i be an arbitrary bijection of G_i onto $X \setminus P$. The second family of new lines consists of the triples $\{p_i, x, \sigma_i(x)\}$ with $x \in G_i$, $i \in I$. Finally, the third class of the new lines consists of the triples $\{x_i, x_j, \mu(x_i, x_j)\}$, where μ is an arbtrary labelling of the edges of the graph $K_{X \setminus P}$ by the elements of Z: it is possible due to cardinalities of the sets in question. Let $\mathfrak{M}^*$ be the structure defined on the point universe $S \cup X$, whose lines are the lines of $\mathfrak{M}$ and the three classes of new lines introduced above. It is seen that $\mathfrak{M}^*$ is a B_{n+1}-configuration. It is also evident that K_X and $K_{Y_i \cup \{p_i\}}$ for $i \in I$ are K_n-subgraphs freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}^*$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}^*$ contains another freely contained K_n-subgraph K_Y, let $p \in X \cap Y$. Then $Y_0 := Y \setminus X = Y \setminus \{p\}$ is a K_{n-1} subgraph of $\mathfrak{M}$. Consequently, $Y_0 = Y_i$ for some $i \in I$. Suppose that $p \neq p_i$, then the two subgraphs $K_{Y_i \cup \{p_i\}}$ and K_Y freely contained in $\mathfrak{M}^*$ have more than a point in common. Consequently, $p = p_i$ and $Y = Y_i \cup \{p_i\}$. Thus $\mathfrak{M}^*$ freely contains exactly $m+1$ K_n-subgraphs. □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If there exists a B_{n+1}-configuration which freely contains exactly two K_n graphs then there is also a B_{n+1}-configuration without any K_n-subgraph freely contained in it.*

PROOF. Let $n \geq 4$. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{L} \rangle$ be a B_{n+1}-configuration which freely contains exactly two complete K_n-graphs X_1, X_2. Let $p \in X_1 \cap X_2$. Set $A_i = X_i \setminus \{p\}$. Let $e_1 \in \wp_2(A_1)$ and $e_2 \in \wp_2(A_2)$ such that $\overline{e_1} \cap \overline{e_2} \ni q$ for a point q (cf. 2.6(ii)) Let $e_1 = \{a_1, b_1\}$, $e_2 = \{a_2, b_2\}$ such that $b_2 \notin \overline{p, a_1}$ and $a_2 \notin \overline{p, b_1}$. We replace the two lines $\{q, a_1, b_1\}$ and $\{q, a_2, b_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ by two other triples $\{q, a_1, b_2\}$ and $\{q, b_1, a_2\}$; let $\mathfrak{M}^*$ be the obtained incidence structure. Clearly, $\mathfrak{M}^*$ is a B_{n+1}-configuration.
$\mathfrak{M}^*$ does not freely contain any K_n-graph
INDEED: Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}^*$ freely contains a K_n-graph Y. Let us have a look at the collinearity graph $A_{\mathfrak{K}}$ of an arbitrary configuration $\mathfrak{K}$. Clearly, if K_X is contained in $\mathfrak{K}$ then K_X is a subgraph of $A_{\mathfrak{K}}$. In our case exactly two edges e_1, e_2 of $A_{\mathfrak{M}}$ were replaced by two (other) edges $\{a_1, b_2\}$, $\{a_2, b_1\}$ to form $A_{\mathfrak{M}^*}$. So, K_Y cannot be build entirely from the edges missing $e_1 \cup e_2$.

(1) $Y \cap e_1 \neq \emptyset \neq Y \cap e_2$. Indeed, suppose, eg. $Y \cap e_1 = \emptyset$. The same pairs of points in $S \setminus e_1$ (except e_2) are collinear in $\mathfrak{M}$ and in $\mathfrak{M}^*$ and therefore Y is a K_n-graph in $\mathfrak{M}$: a contradiction.

(2) $e_1 \not\subset Y$ and $e_2 \not\subset Y$: the pair of points in e_1 is not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$, and, analogously the points in e_2 are also not collinear.

So, Y contains exactly one point x_1 in e_1 and one point y_2 in e_2. Clearly, x_1, y_2 must be collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$.

(3) Suppose that $y_2 \in \overline{p, x_1}$. Without loss of generality we can take $x_1 = a_1$, $y_2 = a_2$. Then $b_1, b_2 \notin Y$. For points in $S \setminus \{b_1, b_2\}$ exactly the same pairs are collinear in $\mathfrak{M}$ and in $\mathfrak{M}^*$, so Y is a subgraph of $\mathfrak{M}$, which is impossible.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $a_1, b_2 \in Y$ and $a_2, b_1 \notin Y$. The following three cases should be considered:

(4) $a_2 \in \overline{p, a_1}$, and $b_2 \in \overline{p, b_1}$,
(5) $a_2 \in \overline{p, a_1}$ and $b_2 \notin \overline{p, b_1}$,
(6) $a_2 \notin \overline{p, a_1}$ and $b_2 \notin \overline{p, b_1}$.

(4): Note that the sides of Y and the lines of $\mathfrak{M}^*$ through vertices of Y coincide. So, $\{p, a_1\}$ is an edge of Y and thus $p \in Y$. Take any point $c_1 \in A_1 \setminus e_1$. Then c_1, b_2 are not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$, so $c_1 \notin Y$. Let $c_2 \in \overline{p, c_1} \setminus \{p, c_1\}$ (this line of $\mathfrak{M}$ was unchanged), then $c_2 \in Y$. But c_2 and a_1 are not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$ and a contradiction arizes.

(5): In this case also necessarily $p \in Y$. Let $c_2 \in \overline{p, b_1} \setminus \{p, b_1\}$; then $c_2 \neq b_2$ and $c_2 \in Y$. But, contradictory, a_1, c_2 are not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$.

(6): Now, either $p \in Y$ or $c_2 \in Y$, where $\{p, a_1, c_2\} \in \mathcal{L}$ ($c_2 \in X_2$). If $p \in Y$ then we take $c_1 \in \overline{p, a_2} \setminus \{p, a_2\}$; then $c_1 \in Y$. An inconsistency appears, as c_1, b_2 are not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$. Consequently, $c_2 \in Y$ and $p \notin Y$. Therefore, the point c_1 in $\overline{p, b_2} \setminus \{p, b_2\}$ is in Y ($c_1 \in X_1$). But c_1, c_2 are not collinear in $\mathfrak{M}^*$, though. □

As an important consequence we obtain now

THEOREM 3.3. *Let m, n be integers, $4 \leq n$, and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ or $m = n+1$. Then there exists a B_{n+1}-configuration which freely contains exactly m K_n-graphs.*

PROOF. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let $J(n)$ be the set of integers m such that there is a B_{n+1}- configuration with exactly m freely contained subgraphs K_n. From

2.9, $J(n) \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n-1, n+1\} =: F(n)$. We need to prove that $J(n) = F(n)$ for every integer $n \geq 4$. Clearly, this equality holds for $n = 4$ (cf. [15]).

Assume that $J(n) = F(n)$ holds for an integer n. From 3.1 and 2.10, $J(n+1) \supseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+2\}$. Then from 3.2 we get $0 \in J(n+1)$ and therefore $J(n+1) = F(n+1)$. By induction, we are done. □

***Remark* 1.** There is no reasonable B_{0+2}-configuration, there is exactly one B_{0+3}-configuration: a line $\mathbf{G}_2(3)$, with exactly 3 freely contained copies of K_2, and there is exactly one B_{0+4}-configuration: the Veblen configuration $\mathbf{G}_2(4)$, which freely contains 4 copies of K_3.

4 Other known examples

4.1 Combinatorial Veronesians

Let us adopt the notation of [17]. Let $|X| = 3$. Then the combinatorial Veronesian $\mathbf{V}_k(X)$ is a B_{k+2}-configuration; its point set is the set $\mathfrak{y}_k(X)$ of the k-element multisets with elements in X. The maximal cliques of $\mathbf{V}_k(X)$ were established in [8]. From that results we learn that

FACT 4.1. *The K_{k+1} graphs freely contained in $\mathbf{V}_k(X)$ are the sets $X_{a,b} := \mathfrak{y}_k(\{a, b\})$, $X_{b,c} := \mathfrak{y}_k(\{b, c\})$, and $X_{c,a} := \mathfrak{y}_k(\{c, a\})$. Its axis is the set X^k. For $u \in \wp_2(X)$ the B_{k+1}-subconfiguration of $\mathbf{V}_k(X)$ complementary to X_u (with the universe $y\mathfrak{y}_{k-1}(X)$, $y \in X \setminus u$) is isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_{k-1}(X)$.*

COROLLARY 4.2. *A B_{k+1}-Veronesian with $k > 2$ contains exactly three complete K_k-graphs freely contained in it.*

4.2 Quasi Grassmannians

Let us adopt the notation of [21]. The configuration $\mathfrak{R}_n$ is a B_{n+2}-configuration. Recall the role of the set $X = \{1, 2\}$ if n is even and $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ if n is odd. Namely, let us cite after [21] the following

FACT 4.3. *The complete K_{n+1}-graphs freely contained in $\mathfrak{R}_n$ are the sets $S(i) = \{a \in \wp_2(Y) \colon i \in a\}$, where $\wp_2(Y)$ is the point set of $\mathfrak{R}_n$, $X \subset Y$, and $i \in X$.*

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a B_n-quasi-Grassmannian, $n > 2$. If n is even then $\mathfrak{M}$ freely contains exactly two K_{n-1}-graphs, and it freely contains exactly three K_{n-1}-graphs when n is odd.*

Besides, the above indicates one more similarity between combinatorial Veronesians and quasi Grassmannians represented as a fan of configurations 10_3G.

References

[1] A. AL-AZEMI, A. BETTEN, *Classification of triangle-free 22_3 configurations*, Int. J. Comb. **2010**(2010), Art. Id. 767361, 1–17.

[2] D. BETTEN, U. SCHUMACHER, *The ten configurations 10_3*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **46** (1993), 3–10.

[3] M. BOBEN, B. GRÜNBAUM, T. PISANSKI, A. ZITNIK, *Small triangle-free configurations of points and lines*, Discrete. Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), 405–427.

[4] A. DOLIWA, *The affine Weil group symmetry of Desargues maps and the non-commutative Hirota-Miwa system*, Phys. Lett. A **375** (2011), 1219–1224.

[5] A. DOLIWA, *Desargues maps and the Hirota-Miwa equation*, Proc. R. Soc. A **466** (2010), 1177–1200.

[6] A. D. FORBES, M. J. GRANELL, T. S. GRIGGS, *On* 6*-sparse Steiner triple systems*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **144**(2007), no .2, 235–252.

[7] Y. FUIIWARA, *Infinite classes of anti-mitre and* 5*-sparse Steiner triple systems*, J. Combin. Des. **14** (2006), no. 3, 237–250.

[8] I. GOLONKO, M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Adjacency in Generalized Projective Veronese Spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamb. **76**(2006), 99–114.

[9] H. GROPP, *Configurations and their realization*, *Combinatorics (Rome and Montesilvano, 1994)*, Discrete Math. **174**, no. 1–3 (1997), 137–151.

[10] B. GRÜNBAUM, *Geometric realization of some triangle-free combinatorial configurations* 22_3, ISRN Geom **2012** (2012), ArticleID 560760, 1–10.

[11] S. KANTOR, *Die Konfigurationen* $(3,3)_{10}$, Sitzungsberichte Wiener Akad. **84** (1881), 1291–1314.

[12] A. KLIMCZAK, M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Desarguesian closure of binomial graphs*, Demonstratio Math. **39**(2006), no. 2 245–253

[13] A. C. H. LING, C. J. COLBOURN, M. J. GRANELL, T. S. GRIGGS, *Construction techniques for anti-Pasch Steiner triple systems*, Jour. London Math. Soc. **61** (2000), no. 3, 641–657.

[14] K. PETELCZYC, M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Twisted Fano spaces and their classification, linear completions of systems of triangle perspectives*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **54** (2010), 241–251.

[15] K. PETELCZYC, M. PRAŻMOWSKA, 10_3*-configurations and projective realizability of multiplied configurations*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **51**, no. 1 (2009), 45–54.

[16] T. PISANSKI, M. BOBEN, D. MARUŠIČ, A. ORBANIĆ, A. GRAOVAC, The 10-cages and derived configurations, Discrete Math. **257** (2004), 265–276.

[17] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, K. PRAŻMOWSKI, *Combinatorial Veronese structures, their geometry, and problems of embeddability*, Results Math. **51** (2008), 275–308.

[18] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, K. PRAŻMOWSKI, *Some generalization of Desargues and Veronese configurations*, Serdica Math. J. **32** (2006), no 2–3, 185–208.

[19] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *Multiple perspectives and generalizations of the Desargues configuration*, Demonstratio Math. **39** (2006), no. 4, 887–906.

[20] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *On the existence of projective embeddings of some multiveblen configurations*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon-Stevin **17** (2010), 1–15.

[21] M. PRAŻMOWSKA, *On some regular multi-veblen configurations, the geometry of combinatorial quasi Grassmannians*, Demonstratio Math. **42** (2009), no.2 387–402.

[22] E. STEINITZ, *Konfigurationen der projektiven Geometrie*, Encyclop. d. math. Wiss. III (Geometrie) 1910, 481–516.

[23] A. WOLFE, *The resolution of the antimitre Steiner triple system conjecture*, J. Combin. Des. **14**(2006), no. 3, 229–236.

Authors' address:
Małgorzata Prażmowska, Krzysztof Prażmowski
Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok
ul. Akademicka 2, 15-246 Białystok, Poland
malgpraz@math.uwb.edu.pl, krzypraz@math.uwb.edu.pl